

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,133	05/11/2001	Michael J. Lodes	210121.475C10	6805
	7590 07/11/2003			·
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER	
SUITE 6300			MARTINELL, JAMES	
SEATTLE, WA	A 98104-7092		ABTINIT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	14
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/854,133	LODES ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		James Martinell	1631
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
- External e	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  ISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimur ill apply and will expire SIX	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	pril 2003 .	
2a) <u></u> □	T1 1 41 1	s action is non-final.	
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Elion of Claims	nce except for forma Ex parte Quayle, 19:	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 85 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are pending in the application	cation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-17</u> is/are v	withdrawn from cons	sideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 7 and 18-22 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) <u>□</u> Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requiremer	t.
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		by the Evaminor
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🔲 T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b	disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		El disapproved by the Examiner.
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	(1).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have b	een received in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a)	The translation of the foreign language provices the complex of a claim for domestic	sional application ha	as been received.
)  Notice )  Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6,8,9</u>	5)   Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) : .
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		n Summary	Part of Paner No. 14

Application/Control Number: 09/854,133

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant's election of Group II in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicants have not argued the basis of the requirement for restriction. In addition, the requirement for electing a single SEQ ID NO for examination was based on the sequences being directed to independent and distinct inventions and not species as is asserted by applicants in paper no. 13.

Applicants' arguments in connection with SEQ ID NOs: 586 and 587 is persuasive, thus both SEQ ID NOs: 586 and 587 have been examined (*i.e.*, claims 7 and 18-22).

Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 13.

No copy of El-Deiry (Current Opinion in Oncology 9 (1), 79 (1997)) was submitted in this file. In view of the large number of parent applications for the instant application, applicants' not identifying which parent application contains a copy of El-Deiry, and the reference not being readily available to the USPTO, El-Deiry has not been considered.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 7 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The instant application does not disclose a specific, substantial, and credible utility for any of the claimed polypeptides. Neither is it evident that one of skill in the art would find a patentable utility for the claimed polypeptides to be readily apparent given the disclosure in the instant application. The observations reported on page 133 of the instant application are not seen as providing a specific, substantial, and credible utility.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The instant application does not teach one of skill in the art how to make and use the invention. The discussion in the rejection under 35 USC § 101 is incorporated here.

Claims 7 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The instant application does not disclose all polypeptides that comprise the sequences mentioned in the claims and does not disclose any effects of additional or flanking sequences on the activity of the sequences mentioned in the claims.

Claims 7 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are vague and indefinite for the following reasons.

- (a) The recitation of "T cells specific for a polypeptide having an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 586" (claims 19 and 20) is vague and indefinite because it is not known what is meant by "specific for" in the context of the claims.
- (b) The recitation of "T cells specific for a polypeptide having an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 586" (claims 19 and 20) is vague and indefinite because it is not known what is meant by "having an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 586." It is not clear whether

Art Unit: 1631

the claims require the entirety of SEQ ID NO: 586 or merely a subsequence of SEQ ID NO: 586 (*i.e.* the smallest subsequence of SEQ ID NO: 586 would be any two contiguous amino acids within SEQ ID NO: 586). The substitution of the definite article "the" for "an" in this passage would be sufficient to overcome this part of this rejection.

- (c) The recitation of "an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 586" (claim 18) is vague and indefinite. The discussion in part (b) hereinabove is incorporated here.
- (d) The recitation of "an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 587" (claim 21) is vague and indefinite. The discussion in part (b) hereinabove is incorporated here.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (703) 308-0296. The fax phone number for Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (703) 746-5162. The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be e-mailed to james.martinell@uspto.gov. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 305-4028. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

James Martinell, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1631